

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.weylo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/519,683	08/31/2005	Philippe Espiard	264120US0PCT	9269	
23:859 75:90 12:08:20:08 OBLON, SPIVAK, MCCLELLAND MAIER & NEUSTADT, P.C. 1940 DUKE STREET			EXAM	EXAMINER	
			THOMPSON, CAMIE 8		
ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
			1794		
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			12/08/2008	ELECTRONIC	

# Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

patentdocket@oblon.com oblonpat@oblon.com jgardner@oblon.com

## Application No. Applicant(s) 10/519.683 ESPIARD ET AL. Office Action Summary Examiner Art Unit Camie S. Thompson 1794 -- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS. WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on Election filed 9/8/08. 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 13-28 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) 16-22.25.26 and 28 is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 13-15, 23-24, 27 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are; a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abevance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some \* c) None of: Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). \* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)

PTOL-326 (Rev. 08-06)

2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)

Paper No(s)/Mail Date 1/10/05;8/7/06.

Paper No(s)/Mail Date.

6) Other:

5) Notice of Informal Patent Application

Application/Control Number: 10/519,683 Page 2

Art Unit: 1794

### DETAILED ACTION

 Applicant's election of group I, claims 13-15, 23-24 and 27 are acknowledged. Claims 16-22. 25-26 and 28 are withdrawn from consideration at this time.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
   The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- Claims 14-15, 24 and 27 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being
  indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which
  applicant regards as the invention.
- 4. Claims 14-15 and 24 are rendered indefinite because of the term "epoxy-type".
  Regarding claims 14-15 and 24, the phrase "type" renders the claim(s) indefinite because the claim(s) include(s) elements not actually disclosed (those encompassed by "type"), thereby rendering the scope of the claim(s) unascertainable. See MPEP § 2173.05(d).

#### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

5. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- Claims 14-15, 23-24 and 27 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Marchetti et al., U.S. Patent Number 4.501,787.

Application/Control Number: 10/519,683 Page 3

Art Unit: 1794

Marchetti discloses a woven fibrous glass cloth impregnated with an epoxy resin as per instant claims 15, 24 and 27 (see column 2, lines 38-44). Additionally, the reference discloses that the epoxy resin has an EEW of about 482 (see Example 2). It is disclosed in Example 1 that the woven glass fiber cloth has a weight of 6.0 oz/sq. yd (23 g/m²). Example 2 discloses that the epoxy resin is present in the amount of 77.93% as required by the present claims. The reference does not disclose the density of the product. However, the reference does have the same components and required by the present claims. Therefore, it would be expected that the product of the Marchetti reference have a density between 4 and 2000 kg/m³ as required by present claim 23.

#### Double Patenting

7. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. A nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting rejection is appropriate where the conflicting claims are not identical, but at least one examined application claim is not patentably distinct from the reference claim(s) because the examined application claim is either anticipated by, or would have been obvious over, the reference claim(s). See, e.g., *In re Berg*, 140 F.3d 1428, 46 USPQ2d 1226 (Fed. Cir. 1998); *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re* 

Application/Control Number: 10/519,683

Art Unit: 1794

Vogel, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and In re Thorington, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) or 1.321(d) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent either is shown to be commonly owned with this application, or claims an invention made as a result of activities undertaken within the scope of a joint research agreement.

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

8. Claims 14-15, 23-24 and 27 are provisionally rejected on the ground of nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1, 4, 13-15, and 17-18 of copending Application No. 10/578571. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because the claims in both the present and co-pending applications recite an insulation product comprising mineral fibers wherein the fibers have a grammage from 10 to 300 g/m² and at least one of the external faces of the product have a binder present in the amount of 1% by weight.

This is a <u>provisional</u> obviousness-type double patenting rejection because the conflicting claims have not in fact been patented. Art Unit: 1794

CONCLUSION

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communication from the examiner

should be directed to Camie S. Thompson whose telephone number is (571) 272-1530. The

examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday from 7:30 am to 4:00 pm. If

attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, D.

Lawrence Tarazano, can be reached at (571) 272-1515. The fax phone number for the Group is

571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would

like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or would like access to the

automated information system, call (800) 786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Camie Thompson /cs/ Patent Examiner, AU 1794

D. Lawrence Tarazano/

Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 1794